Original Research Paper

Antibacterial of Endophytic Bacteria from Papaya (*Carica papaya*) Seeds Againts *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*

Esti Rizkiana Pratiwi^{1*}, Nadiah Al Batati¹, Nuzzulul Anisa Firamadhani¹

¹Program Studi Mikrobiologi, Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan, Universitas Maarif Hasyim Latif, Sidoarjo, Indonesia;

Article History

Received : October 20th, 2024 Revised : November 10th, 2024 Accepted : November 28th, 2024

*Corresponding Author: Esti Rizkiana Pratiwi, Program Studi Mikrobiologi Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan, Universitas Maarif Hasyim Latif, Sidoarjo, Indonesia; Email: esti rizkiana@dosen.umaha.ac.id **Abstract**: Endophytic bacteria were produce antibacterial compounds from various plants has been widely reported as considered efficient in overcoming resistant pathogen infections. This study aims to obtain endophytic bacteria from papaya seeds which can produce antibacterials. Papaya seeds were used to isolate endophytic bacteria, which were then examined for antibacterial activity. The dics diffusion method is used to determine the antibacterial activity's efficacy against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. There are nine isolates of endophytic bacteria in all. According to a potency test, the nine isolates exhibit strong antibacterial activity against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus, respectively. Every isolate has the ability to effectively inhibit Staphylococcus aureus. The only isolates that could suppress Escherichia coli were CPA 5, CPA 6, and CPA 8. Based on their antibacterial activity against Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus, it was determined that the endophytic bacterial isolates from papaya seed have the capacity to generate antibacterials.

Keywords: Antibacterial, endophytic bacteria, papaya, seeds.

Introduction

Bacteria that thrive and proliferate in healthy plant tissue are known as endophytic bacteria. They do not infect or harm their hosts and have a variety of useful properties (Azvenela et al., 2023; Gond et al., 2015). It is possible to isolate endophytic bacteria from fruit, flowers, seeds, leaves, stems, and roots (Afzal et al., 2019; Mahdi et al., 2022). The important roles of Endophytic bacteria in plants such as: triggering plant growth (Plant Growth Promoting) through their ability to absorb and solubilize nutrients, producing antimicrobial components to overcome pathogens that provide protection and supporting plant health, producing various kinds of compounds that are used to control pollution and phytoremediation agents, and produce secondary metabolite components such as antibiotics, antifungals, anti-cancer, antioxidants and bioinsecticides (Hardoim et al., 2015; Pratiwi, et al., 2020; Pratiwi et al., 2024).

The exploration of endophytic bacteria in This article is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0</u> <u>International License</u>.

producing antibiotic compounds from various plants has been widely reported and is considered efficient in overcoming resistant pathogen infections (Afzal et al., 2019; Mahdi et al., 2022). It is well known that natural substances derived from fungi, bacteria, and plants can cure infectious disorders brought on by antibioticresistant pathogenic bacteria (Mai et al., 2020). Antibiotic-resistant bacteria can be managed with the use of bioactive substances made by plants (Subramani et al., 2017). The research to obtain new antibiotic agents has focused on endophytic bacteria (Azyenela et al., 2023; Gond et al., 2015).

Several studies related to papaya plants have reported that they contain bioactive compounds and have antibacterial activity. Papaya leaves extracted using ethyl acetate produce antibacterial properties by inhibiting the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteria (Peter et al., 2014). Papaya peel extract using 96% ethanol successfully demonstrated antibacterial activity against *Propionibacterium acnes* (Torar

© 2024 The Author(s). This article is open access

et al., 2017). 96% ethanol-based papaya seed extract exhibits antibacterial efficacy against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Ariani et al., 2019; Buang et al., 2019). Apart from that, research on endophytic bacteria from Papaya leaves, stems and roots has also found antibacterial activity (Subramani et al., 2017; Ginting et al., 2020; Azyenela et al., 2023). Antibiotics produced from endophytic bacteria are verv environmentally friendly, not dangerous to humans and are only toxic to pathogens (Singh et al., 2017; Sharma & Mallubhotla, 2022; Faujiah et al., 2023).

Endophytic bacteria from papaya seeds have not yet been investigated for their antibacterial properties. There is a potential to obtain endophytic bacteria from papaya seed to produce antibacterials similar to the ability produced by the host (papaya plant) according to previous studies. Isolating endophytic bacteria from papava seeds and assessing their potential antibacterial efficacy against Escherichia coli and Staphyloccus aureus bacteria were the goals of this study. This research is useful in providing new information related to the potential of endophytic bacteria from papaya seeds that produce antibacterial activity and as an alternative to new antibacterial that inhibiting Staphyloccus aureus and Escherichia coli bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of Papaya Seeds

Papaya seeds are collected from peeled papaya fruit (Papaya California variety). The seeds are cleaned and washed with sterile distilled water. Next, the seeds are drained and air-dried. Papaya seed samples will be used for the isolation process of endophytic bacteria.

Isolation Of Endophytic Bacteria

Papaya seeds' endophytic bacteria were is olated using a modified version of the methodol ogy used in earlier research (Ginting et al., 2020; Pratiwi, et al., 2020; Semenzato et al., 2022; Azyenela et al., 2023; Pratiwi et al., 2024). Papaya seeds were surface sterilized as a sample. To sterilize the papaya seeds, their surface was submerged in 70% ethanol for two minutes, then sodium hypochlorite 5.25% for one minute, and finally 70% ethanol for three minutes. Following the completion of each step, wash three times for one minute each in sterile distilled water. To confirm that the surface is sterile, checked for feasible microbial growth by inoculating suspension of final washing distilled water on Nutrient Agar media and then incubated for 24 – 48 hours. If there is no microbial growth, this indicates that it is sterile and the papaya seeds can be used for testing.

A 15gram sterile seed samples with 135 mL of 0.85% NaCl is blended to homogeneous with a blender at high speed. The sample suspension is made in diluted using the serial dilution method up to 10⁻⁶. A spread plate was used to inoculate each sample suspension (0.1 mL) on Nutrient Agar (NA) media with 50 ppm of Nystatin. The plates were then incubated for 48 hours at 37 °C. After 48 hours, the culture bacteria were observed and characterization. Single colony bacterial isolates were purified using a spread plate method.

Preparation of Endophytic Bacterial Inoculum

Each endophytic bacterial isolates were grown in 50 mL of Nutrient Broth (NB) media at 37 °C for 48 hours as a starter. 5 mL of culture was taken and inoculated into 95 mL of new NB media. Observation of the number of bacterial cells was carried out by counting using a Haemocytometer.

Preparation of Bacterial Test Inoculum

Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus, the test microorganisms, were cultivated in Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) media and incubated for twenty-four hours at 37° C. Measuring the density of each bacterial cell by comparing it using the Mc-Farland method. The number of test pathogenic bacteria is estimated at 10^{8} CFU/ml.

Potency Antibacterial Activity Test

The Potency antibacterial activity of endophytic bacteria tests were follows the method from previous studies with the modificatios (Sharma & Mallubhotla, 2022; Azyenela et al., 2023; Faujiah et al., 2023). Potency tests are carried out to select isolates that have the ability to produce antibacterials. Antibacterial activity test were obtain by disc diffusion method against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*.

Each bacterial culture of Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli (108 CFU/mL) were spread plate 0.1 ml on the MHA media. Endophytic bacterial culture grown for 24 hours. For ten minutes, the endophytic bacterial culture was centrifuged at 1.000 rpm and 4°C. After being separated from the pellet, the supernatant was moved to a fresh microtube. Prepare sterile blank discs (size 6 mm) for testing antibacterial activity. Inoculate the supernatant on a sterile disc of 60 µl. Each bacterial test culture (10⁸ CFU/mL) was spread on a spread plate of 0.1 ml on the top surface of the Muller Hinton Agar medium. A disc containing the dried supernatant is placed on the top surface of the Mueller Hinton Agar Media which previously contained the test bacterial culture. Incubation at 37 °C for 48 hours.

Observation of the clear zone formed between the disc. The clear zone formed indicates that metabolites from endophytic bacteria have antibacterial activity against bacteria test (*Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli*).

Results and Discussion

Characteristic of Endophytic Bacteria

The results of endophytic bacterial isolation after purification obtained 9 bacterial isolates. Each isolate was identified of characteristic. (Table 1). The morphological characteristics of endophytic bacterial isolates are shown in the following characters: shape, margin, elevation, surface, texture, opacity, colony pigmentation, bacteria shape, and bacteria Gram.

The endophytic bacteria isolate were irregular and circular shape, entire and undulate margin, butyrous (buttery) and mucoid texture, smooth surface, translusent and opaque. The endophytic bacterial isolate were grayish white, white, and milky white for the colony pigmentation. The endophytic bacterial isolate were rod – positive, rod – negative, and coccus - positive. Endophytic bacterial isolates were used for antibiotic activity tests.

| Characters | | | | Ende | ophyte Bacteri | a Isolate | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| | CPA 1 | CPA 2 | CPA 3 | CPA 4 | CPA 5 | CPA 6 | CPA 7 | CPA 8 | CPA 9 |
| Colony Shape | Irregular | Circular | Irregular | Circular | Irregular | Irregular | Circular | Irregular | Irregular |
| Colony Margin | Entire | Entire | Undulate | Entire | Entire | Entire | Entire | Undulate | Entire |
| Colony texture | Butyrous | Butyrous | Butyrous | Mucoid | Mucoid | Butyrous | Butyrous | Mucoid | Butyrous |
| Surface | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth | Smooth |
| Elevation | Flat | Raised | Flat | Flat | Flat | Raised | Raised | Flat | Raised |
| Opacity | Translusent | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Translusent | Opaque | Opaque | Translusent | Opaque |
| Colony pigmentation | Grayish white | White | White | Grayish white | Grayish white | Milky white | Grayish white | Grayish white | White |
| Bacteria Shape | Rod | Rod | Rod | Coccus | Rod | Rod | Rod | Rod | Rod |
| Bacteria Gram | Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | Negative | Positive | Negative | Positive | Positive |

Table 1. Characteristic of Endophytic bacteria isolate

Potency Antibacterial Activity

Endophytic bacteria from papaya seeds were tested for their potent antibacterial action against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. According to the results of the potency test, endophytic bacteria can stop the growth of other bacteria. The endophytic bacterial isolate is said to possess antibacterial activity if it is able to stop the growth of bacteria. *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* were used as bacteria in the disk diffusion method to test for antibacterial activity. The clear zone formed by endophyte bacteria as indicator that the bacteria can producing antibacterial activity. The clear zone was measure, then the inhibition zone category is determined. The Category of inhibition zone were shown antibacterial strength (Table 2) (AR et al., 2022; Jamilatun et al., 2020).

The results of the antibacterial activity screening test shown by Table 3 & Table 4. Based on the potency test, different antibacterial activities were obtained from each endophytic bacterial isolate. Some endophytic bacteria can inhibit Gram-positive and inhibit Gram-negative bacteria. All endophytic bacteria were able to inhibit *Staphylococcus aureus*. Only isolates CPA 5, CPA 6, and CPA 8 were able to inhibit *Escherichia coli*.

Table 2. The inhibition zone categories

| Inhibition zone diameter (mm) | Category |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| >20 | Very strong |
| | (Very sensitive) |
| 10 - 20 | Strong |
| | (Sensitive) |
| 5 - 10 | Intermediate |
| < 5 | Weak |
| 0 | Resistant |

 Table 3. Antibacterial activity of endophytic bacteria

 from papaya seeds

| Isolate | Antibacterial activity against bacterial test. | | | | |
|---------|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Code | Staphylococcus aureus | Escherichia coli | | | |
| CPA 1 | + | - | | | |
| CPA 2 | + | - | | | |
| CPA 3 | + | - | | | |
| CPA 4 | + | - | | | |
| CPA 5 | + | + | | | |
| CPA 6 | + | + | | | |
| CPA 7 | + | - | | | |
| CPA 8 | + | + | | | |
| CPA 9 | + | - | | | |

The largest inhibition zone was obtained from isolate CPA 9 (11,4 mm) followed by isolate CPA 1 (10.1 mm), CPA 3 (5,1 mm), CPA 2 (5,0 mm) which inhibited *Staphylococcus aureus*, and isolate CPA 5 (5,0 mm) which inhibited *Escherichia coli*. Based on the categories of inhibition zone (Table 2), the antibacterial activity strength of endophytic bacterial isolates is classified as strong (sensitive), intermediate, weak, and resistant.

The CPA 1 and CPA 9 isolate are strong (sensitive) to inhibit against *Staphylococcus aureus*. The CPA 3 and CPA 2 isolate are intermediate to inhibit against *Staphylococcus aureus*. The CPA 4, CPA 5, CPA 6, CPA 7, and CPA 8 isolate are weak to inhibit against *Staphylococcus aureus respectively*. The CPA 5 isolate are intermediate to inhibit against *Escherichia coli*. The CPA 6 and CPA 8 are weak to inhibit against *Escherichia coli*. Meanwhile,

CPA 1, CPA 2, CPA 3, CPA 4, CPA 7, and CPA 9 isolate are resistant to inhibit against *Escherichia coli* respectively.

| Table 4. Inhibition zone of antibacterial a | ctivity |
|---|---------|
| by endophytic bacteria from papaya see | eds |

| Isolata | Inhibition Zone (mm) | | | | |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Code | Staphylococcus aureus | Escherichia coli | | | |
| CPA 1 | $10,2 \pm 6,45$ | 0 | | | |
| CPA 2 | $5,0 \pm 3,11$ | 0 | | | |
| CPA 3 | $5,1\pm0,04$ | 0 | | | |
| CPA 4 | $2,6 \pm 1,52$ | 0 | | | |
| CPA 5 | $1,7\pm0,\!98$ | $5,0{\pm}1,05$ | | | |
| CPA 6 | $3,58 \pm 2,09$ | $3,0 \pm 2,43$ | | | |
| CPA 7 | $3,6\pm0,85$ | 0 | | | |
| CPA 8 | $2,0\pm 1,80$ | $3,25 \pm 1,88$ | | | |
| CPA 9 | $11,4 \pm 6,73$ | 0 | | | |

Discussion

Approximately nine endophytic bacteria were identified from papaya seeds. There were not many endophytic bacteria found in papaya seeds overall. Small amounts of bacteria have also been found in plant parts such flowers, fruits, and seeds (Truyens et al., 2015). Liu et al. (2017) state that a number of variables, such as plant species, genotypes, organs, age, developmental phases, and canopy types, might influence the makeup of endophytic bacteria. Different parts of plant organs cause different bacterial community associations, thus affecting their respective composition and diversity. The microbiome in the endosphere is less diverse than in the rhizosphere and soil. It was concluded that plant parts (organs) affect the total of endophytic bacteria.

Based on results, it is known that there are differences in antibacterial activity produced by endophytic bacterial isolates. Staphylococcus aureus is bactericidal to all endophytic bacteria from papaya seeds, whereas certain isolates are bacteriostatic to Escherichia coli. The findings demonstrated that endophytic bacteria's antibacterial properties were more effective against Gram-positive bacteria. Gram-positive Staphylococcus bacteria aureus are more susceptible to antibacterial agents. Gram-negative Escherichia coli bacteria are more resilient to antibacterial agents.

The cell wall structure in bacteria plays an important role in maintaining cell shape and providing a barrier in controlling the transport of water, ions, nutrients, and other molecules such as antibiotics that target bacterial cell wall components. Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria differ in the structure of their cell walls, which affects how well they are susceptible to antibacterials. It was discovered that Grampositive bacteria were more susceptible to antibiotic substances than Gram-negative bacteria. It is easier for antibacterial substances to enter the cell and locate targets to target because Gram-positive bacteria have a singlelayered cell wall structure made of thick peptidoglycan without an outer membrane.

Gram-negative bacteria are thought to be more resistant to antibacterial agents due to the complexity of their cell walls, which are made up of peptidoglycan, lipopolysidaride (LPS), and an outer membrane. Gram-positive bacteria seem to be more effective against antibacterial agents than Gram-negative bacteria (Vital et al., 2010; Sarkar et al., 2017; Torar et al., 2017; Riu et al., 2022). Although some isolates were known to have weak antibacterial activity against the two test bacteria, endophytic bacterial isolates had the potential to produce antibacterials. Further research needs to be carried out to optimize the antibacterial activity produced by each endophytic bacterial isolate.

Conclusion

The conclusion of this research was that Nine isolates of endophytic bacteria were successfully isolated from papaya seeds. The endophytic bacteria has potential antibacterial activity against *Staphyloccus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* respectively. All endophytic bacterial isolates were able to inhibit *Staphyloccus aureus*, however, only CPA 5, CPA6, and CPA 8 isolates were able to inhibit *Escherichia coli*

Acknowledgement

LPPM (Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Masyarakat) Maarif Hasyim Latif University which has funded the research through Internal Research Grant. Microbiology Laboratory, Microbiology Study Program, Faculty of Health Sciences, Maarif Hasyim Latif University which has provided facilities for this research. Fellow lecturers and students who have provided opportunities for discussion, consultation, supporting, and motivation in research.

Reference

- Afzal, I., Shinwari, Z. K., Sikandar, S., & Shahzad, S. (2019). Plant beneficial endophytic bacteria: Mechanisms, genetic diversity. range and host determinants. Microbiological Research, 221(December 2018). 36-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2019.02.0 01
- AR, M. M., Lestari, M. D., Setiawati, U. N., Setyaningrum, E., Nukmal, N., Arifiyanto, A., & Aeny, T. (2022). Uji Daya Hambat Pertumbuhan Mikroba Patogen Oleh Streptomyces sp. strain 118 Sebagai Agen Biokontrol. *Bioeskperimen*, 8(2), 88–96.
- Ariani, N., Monalisa., & Febrianti, D. R. (2019).
 Uji Aktivitas Antibakteri Ekstrak Biji Pepaya (Carica papaya L.) Terhadap Pertumbuhan Escherichia coli (Test Activity of Antibacterial Pepaya Seeds (Carica papaya L.) on Growth of Escherichia coli). Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2(2), 2598–2095. journal.umbjm.ac.id/index.php/jcps
- Azyenela, L., Purnama Sari, H., Supri Wardi, E., & Sartika, D. (2023). Isolation and identification with 16s rRNA gene of endophytic bacteria from papaya (Carica papaya L.) and test of its antibacterial activity. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Sciences*, 6(3), 1068–1078.
- Buang, A., Isnaeni, D., & Nurhunaida, E. (2019). Uji Efektivitas Antibakteri Ekstrak Kulit Buah Pepaya (Carica Papaya L.)Terhadap Propioni bacterium acnes Ariani. *Majalah Farmasi Nasional*, 16(01), 13–20.
- Faujiah, P., Hijriani, B. I., & Kurniawan, E. (2023). The Inhibition Activity Of Endophytic Bacteria Of Papaya Leaves (Carica papaya L.) Against Staphylococcus aureus. *Journal of Natural Sciences*, 1(4), 101–106.
- Ginting, L., Wijanarka, & Kusdiyantini, E. (2020). Isolasi bakteri endofit tanaman

pepaya (Carica papaya L.) dan uji aktivitas enzim amilase. *Berkala Bioteknologi*, *3*(2), 1–7.

Gond, S. K., Bergen, M. S., Torres, M. S., & White, J. F. (2015). Endophytic Bacillus spp. produce antifungal lipopeptides and induce host defence gene expression in maize. *Microbiological Research*, 172, 79– 87.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2014.11.0 04

- Hardoim, P. R., van Overbeek, L. S., Berg, G., Pirttilä, A. M., Compant, S., Campisano, A., Döring, M., & Sessitsch, A. (2015). The Hidden World within Plants: Ecological Evolutionary Considerations and for Defining Functioning of Microbial Endophytes. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 79(3). 293-320. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00050-14
- Jamilatun, M., Aminah, A., & Shufiyani, S. (2020). Uji Daya Hambat Antibakteri Kapang Endofit Dari Tanaman Alang-Alang (Imperata Cylindrica (L.) Beauv.) Terhadap Pertumbuhan Bakteri Staphylococcus Aureus Dan Escherichia Coli. Jurnal Medikes (Media Informasi Kesehatan), 7(2), 335–346. https://doi.org/10.36743/medikes.v7i2.224
- Liu, H., Carvalhais, L. C., Crawford, M., Singh, E., Dennis, P. G., Pieterse, C. M. J., & Schenk, P. M. (2017). Inner plant values: Diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8(DEC), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02552
- Mahdi, R. A., Bahrami, Y., & Kakaei, E. (2022). Identification and antibacterial evaluation of endophytic actinobacteria from Luffa cylindrica. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23073-4
- Mai, P. Y., Levasseur, M., Buisson, D., Touboul, D., & Eparvier, V. (2020). Identification of antimicrobial compounds from sandwithia guyanensis-associated endophyte using molecular network approach. *Plants*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010047
- Peter, J. K., Kumar, Y., Pandey, P., & Masih, H. (2014). Antibacterial Activity of Seed and Leaf Extract of Carica Papaya var. Pusa dwarf Linn. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and*

Biological Sciences, *9*(2), 29–37. https://doi.org/10.9790/3008-09272937

- Pratiwi, E. ., Suryani, E. ., Prasetya, A. I. ., & Al Batati, N. (2024). KARAKTERISASI DAN POTENSI BAKTERI ENDOFIT AKAR KOPI (Coffea sp) SEBAGAI PENGHASIL INDOLE ACETIC ACID (IAA). *Bio-Lectura : Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi, 11*(1), 77–92. https://doi.org/10.31849/bl.v11i1.19229
- Pratiwi, E. R., Ardyati, T., & Suharjono, S. (2020). Plant Growth Promoting Endophytic Bacteria of Coffea canephora and Coffea arabica L. in UB Forest. *The Journal of Experimental Life Sciences*, *10*(2), 119–126. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jels.2020.010. 02.07
- Riu, F., Ruda, A., Ibba, R., Sestito, S., Lupinu, I., Piras, S., Widmalm, G., & Carta, A. (2022).
 Antibiotics and Carbohydrate-Containing Drugs Targeting Bacterial Cell Envelopes: An Overview. *Pharmaceuticals*, 15(8), 1– 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph15080942
- Sarkar, P., Yarlagadda, V., Ghosh, C., & Haldar, J. (2017). A review on cell wall synthesis inhibitors with an emphasis on glycopeptide antibiotics. *MedChemComm*, 8(3), 516–533. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6md00585c
- Semenzato, G., Faddetta, T., Falsini, S., Del Duca, S., Esposito, A., Padula, A., Greco, C., Mucci, N., Zaccaroni, M., Puglia, A. M., Papini, A., & Fani, R. (2022). Endophytic Bacteria Associated with Origanum heracleoticum L. (Lamiaceae) Seeds. *Microorganisms*, *10*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10 102086
- Sharma, M., & Mallubhotla, S. (2022). Diversity, Antimicrobial Activity, and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Endophytic Bacteria Sourced From Cordia dichotoma L. Frontiers in Microbiology, 13(May), 1– 17.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.879386

- Singh, M., Kumar, A., Singh, R., & Pandey, K. . (2017). Endophytic bacteria: a new source of bioactive compounds. *3 Biotech*, 7(315).
- Subramani, R., Narayanasamy, M., & Feussner, K. D. (2017). Plant-derived antimicrobials to fight against multi-drug-resistant human

Pratiwi *et al.*, (2024). **Jurnal Biologi Tropis**, 24 (1b): 230 – 236 **DOI:** <u>http://doi.org/10.29303/jbt.v24i1b.8018</u>

pathogens. 3Biotech, 7(172).

- Taulé, C., Vaz-Jauri, P., & Battistoni, F. (2021).
 Insights into the early stages of plant– endophytic bacteria interaction. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 37(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-020-02966-4
- Torar, G. M. J., Lolo, W. A., & Citraningtyas, G. (2017). Uji Aktivitas Antibakteri Ekstrak Etanol Biji Pepaya (Carica papaya L.)
 Terhadap Bakteri Pseudomonas aeruginosa DAN Staphylococcus aureus. *PHARMACONJurnal Ilmiah Farmasi*, 6(2), 14–22.

https://repository.unugiri.ac.id/id/eprint/64 3/

- Truyens, S., Weyens, N., Cuypers, A., & Vangronsveld, J. (2015). Bacterial seed endophytes: Genera, vertical transmission and interaction with plants. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 7(1), 40–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12181
- Vital, P. G., Velasco, R. N., Demigillos, J. M., & Rivera, W. (2010). Antimicrobial Activity and Phy tochemical Screening of Ficus septic and Stercu lia foetida L. Leaf Extracts. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 4(1), 058–063.