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**Abstract:** This study aims to compare the effectiveness of guided inquiry, open inquiry, and tiered inquiry in biochemistry learning. The effectiveness is seen from the product-process perspective, i.e., by looking at critical thinking scores and responses of lecturers’ teaching activities. This study involved three groups treated with guided inquiry (level 1), open inquiry (level 2), and tiered inquiry (level 1 to level 2). The number of respondents was 72 students spread over the three groups. Before being given treatment, the three groups were tested for prior knowledge, and it was obtained that the three groups had the same initial ability. The research instrument used was critical thinking questions and a questionnaire of responses to the learning process. The results showed that the group with tiered inquiry treatment had the highest critical thinking score, while open inquiry had the lowest score. It is in line with the respondents' responses related to the learning process. Students feel easier and more comfortable in the tiered inquiry process than the guided and open inquiries. The results of the Mann-Whitney U test analysis on the three treatments showed that the three groups had significantly different critical thinking scores. It can be concluded that tiered inquiry is more effective in Biochemistry learning than guided and open inquiries.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The demands of 21st-century education currently produce a learning process that can train four things, i.e., knowledge, skills, work habits, and character. Critical thinking, creative thinking, communication, and collaboration are forms of skills needed by students to adapt to changing times. These skills are expected to be trained on students, including students at the university level [1].

Inquiry is one of the recommended learning models in training students' thinking skills. This model is in accordance with constructivism theory, where students are given a learning experience to be able to construct their understanding to form a new understanding [2]. In Chemistry learning, the ability to connect previous concepts with new concepts can be stored in long-term memory [3,4]. The concept held in the long term is one of the characteristics of meaningful learning expected in the learning process at every level [5].

Learning with the inquiry model is reported to train students' practical and thinking skills [6-8]. In addition, allowing students to discover the learning characteristics using the inquiry model can develop scientific attitudes and students’ motivation [9]. The application of the inquiry model can be used in learning that requires practicum so that it can be applied in science learning.

Inquiry is divided into four levels, i.e., level 0 (verification), level 1 (structured inquiry), level 2 (guided inquiry), and level 3 (open inquiry) [10]. Descriptions of the four levels are shown in Table 1. The use of level 0 inquiry is often used and is often equated with the expository method where problems to problem-solving are given to students by lecturers. Inquiry level 1 and 2 technically provide independence to students, where at level 1, the completion stage is developed by students, and at level 2, methods and solutions are developed by students. It is the reason for the two levels of inquiry reported to be able to train students' independence in learning science to increase their understanding of chemical concepts [11-13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Levels of Inquiry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biochemistry is one of the compulsory subjects that Chemistry students must take. The characteristics of the concepts studied are related to concepts that are quite dense and are applicable. Although it is abstract and difficult to understand, the concepts learned are interesting in the opinion of most students [14]. The dense concepts cause the lecture method to be often chosen in explaining Biochemistry concepts in class. It causes learning less meaningful [15,16].

Effective learning can be described in three perspectives. The first is called the *product definition*. Learning is said to be effective if there are positive changes in students. These changes may include learning outcomes, skills, or other variables. The second is called the *process definition*. Learning is said to be effective if the teacher's activities are better than before and impact positive responses from students. The third is called the *process-product* definition.
Learning is said to be effective if the teacher's activities are better and change student attitudes to be better [17].

The use of inquiry has been widely applied in science learning, including Biochemistry. However, the levels used vary, so there needs to be a comparison at each level. The use of inquiry at each level needs to consider students’ conditions and the characteristics of the concept. Thus, this study aims to compare the use of inquiry at several levels and with their modifications to be considered in their application.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This research is descriptive quantitative research comparing student learning outcomes in the use of inquiry in Biochemistry learning. The levels being compared are levels 2, 3, and those conducted in stages. Levels 0 and 1 are inquiry levels that have been used for a long time. There were 3 treatment groups: the group that uses inquiry levels 2 and 3 and the group that uses both levels but in stages. The division of the groups is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of Treatment Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Levels of Inquiry</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>Graded from level 2 to level 3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before being given treatment, both groups were tested for initial knowledge using organic chemistry questions because the organic chemistry course is a prerequisite course for taking biochemistry courses. The initial knowledge test contains the concepts related to the biochemistry course, such as functional groups, molecular structure, physical properties, and chemical properties related to functional groups.

Learning Scenario

Learning scenarios at each level of inquiry are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Learning Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>a. Students are given an explanation of the structure and properties of proteins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Students are given assignments to learn how to do quantitative analysis of proteins in samples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Students were asked questions related to the quantitative analysis of proteins. This types of questions were a question that can practice critical thinking skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Students collect information and answer questions based on the information obtained independently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Steps a-d are repeated for the topic of enzymes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and Discussion

Students' scores in answering critical thinking questions showed the highest average in the group treated with graded inquiry (X = 82.56; SD = 7.89). The group treated with open inquiry (level 2) showed the lowest average, 63.09 (SD = 6.92), while the score of the guided inquiry group (level 1) was 73 (SD = 7.47). The average score of students' critical thinking in the three groups is shown in Figure 1.
The score of each indicator in the three groups shows a varying average. In the three groups, the remembering and making assumptions indicators gave the highest average score, while the score of developing conclusions gave the lowest average in the three treatment groups (Figure 2). The Mann-Whitney U test analysis to compare the scores in the three groups showed that the three groups differed significantly (Table 4).

Figure 2. Critical Thinking Scores of Each Indicator of the Three Treatments

Table 4. Analysis of Mann-Whitney U Test of the Three Treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inquiry Groups</th>
<th>Inquiry 1</th>
<th>Inquiry 2</th>
<th>Graded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry 1</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z = -1.282</td>
<td>Z = -1.134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry 2</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z = -1.282</td>
<td>Z = -3.685</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graded</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.05;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z = -1.134</td>
<td>Z = -3.685</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of student responses showed different responses in the three groups. 68% and 65% understood the learning scenario in the guided and graded inquiry groups, while the rest felt doubtful. In the guided inquiry group, 52% of the students understood the scenario, and the rest felt confused in following the learning scenario.

The guided inquiry has stages that train students' independence better than conventional learning. Although lecturers' role is still more than open inquiry, this stage can train students' readiness in designing problems and planning for solutions [19,20]. Activities of collecting information and solving problems based on the information collected can improve students' ability to argue and increase their motivation [19,21,22].

Information gathering at the inquiry stage helps students relate to the given problems. This ability helps students analyze and make arguments related to the answers to the given problems [23]. If their arguments and answers to problems are correct, students' confidence increases to study other problems. The arguments made help students to construct new understandings so that concepts can be stored in their long-term memory [24,25].

In open inquiry, students were allowed to determine their problems and seek solutions to them. This study found that students' critical thinking scores on the application of open inquiry were lower than the other two treatments. This can be caused by the habits that students in the open inquiry had not conducted. Students are not accustomed to using open inquiry so far. Thus, using open inquiry directly can make students uncomfortable because they are accustomed to learning with the material provided by lecturers [26,27].

The use of graded inquiry showed the highest critical thinking score compared to the guided and open inquiries. Graded inquiry helps students think in stages, starting from simple things trained in guided inquiry to being demanded to be independent in open inquiry. It is in line with previous research reported that inquiry conducted in stages allowed students to solve problems ranging from those designed for them to those designed independently [28-30].

The inquiry's success can be influenced by the beliefs built up by the students themselves. This belief can be built in four ways: efforts to succeed, learning from success, building motivation, and suggestions related to the belief that it can work. Positive student responses to graded inquiry indicated a source of motivation that could be the factor of the high critical thinking scores [31-35].

In the three perspectives of effective learning, it can be seen that the use of graded inquiry gives a higher critical thinking score than the guided and open inquiries. This shows that the use of graded inquiry is more effective than the guided and open inquiry. In addition, the positive responses to the use of graded
inquiry were higher than the two treatment groups. Thus, from the perspective of the graded inquiry process, it was more effectively applied to biochemistry learning.

CONCLUSION
The use of three types of inquiry gave significantly different critical thinking scores. The group showed the highest critical thinking score with graded inquiry treatment followed by guided inquiry and open inquiry. The application of graded inquiry is more effective from the perspective of product and process. It can be seen from the higher critical thinking scores and better responses to the learning process in the group with graded inquiry treatment.
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